1 2 3	VICKI H. YOUNG Law Offices of Vicki H. Young 706 Cowper Street, Suite 205 Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone (415) 421-4347	EÒËZŠÒÖÆÄ DECE	
4	Fax (650) 289-0636		
5	Counsel for Cala Remick		
6 7	IN THE UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR 11-00709 DLJ	
11 12	Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE ) OF STATUS DATE; [] ) ORDER	
13 14 15	CALA REMICK,  Defendant.	) ) ) )	
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	record VICKI H. YOUNG, and the government, through Kaleba, that the status date of July 5, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. The reason for this continuance is that counsel has been to July 5, 2012 because of scheduling problems and the week is needed to schedule the meeting prior to the next Under Title 18 U.S. C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), the continuance	§3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), the continuance is necessary to allow the attorneys efense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking	
26	STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE; [] ORDER - 1 -		

1		
1	It is so stipulated.	
2	Dated: July 2, 2012 Respectfully submitted,	
3		
4		
5	/s/ Vicki H. Young VICKI H. YOUNG, ESQ.	
	Attorney for Cala Remick	
6		
7		
8	Dated: July 2, 2012 MELINDA HAAG UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
9		
10	_/s/ Daniel Kaleba	
11	DANIEL KALEBA Assistant United States Attorney	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	ORDER	
20		
21		
22	to July 12, 2012. This Court finds that the period from July 5, 2012, through and including July 12,	
23	2012, is excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h). The basis for such	
24	exclusion is that the time from July 5, 2012 to July 12, 2012, is needed for effective preparation of	
25	counsel, taking into account due diligence. Therefore the ends of justice served by such a	
26	continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a Speedy Trial within the	
20	STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE;	
	[] ORDER - 2 -	
ı	<del>-</del>	

meaning of Title 18 U.S.C §3161(h)(7)(A).

As required by 18 U.S.C §3161 (h)(7)(A), this Court finds that the reason that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial are the denial of the continuance would unreasonably deny both the attorney for the government and the attorney for the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

DATED: Ï DE G

D. LOWELL JENSEN

SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE [] ORDER